Exploring the low Z-shore of the Island of Deformation at N=60 using AGATA and VAMOS

Jérémie Dudouet[1,2], Antoine Lemasson[3], Guillaume Maquart[2], Gilbert Duchêne[4]

[1]Centre de Sciences Nucléaires et de Sciences de la Matière, Orsay
[2]Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Lyon
[3]GANIL, Caen
[4]Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Strasbourg

Colloque GANIL: 16-20 October 2017, Amboise

What are the limits of this N=60 island of deformation

- The Z=40 and N=60 region gives a remarkable example of sudden nuclear shape transition:
  - This effect seems to start at Z=42 (Mo) and ↗ with ↘ Z
  - N=58 : quasi-spherical shape ⇒ N=60 : rigid rotors with large deformation ($\beta_2 \sim 0.4$)



S. Naimi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L **105**, 032502 (2010)



What are the limits of this N=60 island of deformation

- The Z=40 and N=60 region gives a remarkable example of sudden nuclear shape transition:
  - This effect seems to start at Z=42 (Mo) and ↗ with ↘ Z
  - N=58 : quasi-spherical shape ⇒ N=60 : rigid rotors with large deformation ($\beta_2 \sim 0.4$)



S. Naimi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L **105**, 032502 (2010)



data from this experiment: ^{238}U@6.2 MeV/u on ^{9}Be

What are the limits of this N=60 island of deformation

- The Z=40 and N=60 region gives a remarkable example of sudden nuclear shape transition:
  - This effect seems to start at Z=42 (Mo) and ↗ with ↘ Z
  - N=58 : quasi-spherical shape ⇒ N=60 : rigid rotors with large deformation ($\beta_2 \sim 0.4$)



S. Naimi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L **105**, 032502 (2010)



data from this experiment: ^{238}U@6.2 MeV/u on ^{9}Be

What are the limits of this N=60 island of deformation

- The Z=40 and N=60 region gives a remarkable example of sudden nuclear shape transition:
  - This effect seems to start at Z=42 (Mo) and ↗ with ↘ Z
  - N=58 : quasi-spherical shape ⇒ N=60 : rigid rotors with large deformation ($\beta_2 \sim 0.4$)



S. Naimi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L **105**, 032502 (2010)



data from this experiment: ^{238}U@6.2 MeV/u on ^{9}Be

What are the limits of this N=60 island of deformation

- The Z=40 and N=60 region gives a remarkable example of sudden nuclear shape transition:
  - This effect seems to start at Z=42 (Mo) and ↗ with ↘ Z
  - N=58 : quasi-spherical shape ⇒ N=60 : rigid rotors with large deformation ($\beta_2 \sim 0.4$)



S. Naimi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L **105**, 032502 (2010)



data from this experiment: ^{238}U@6.2 MeV/u on ^{9}Be

$^{96}_{36}Kr_{60}$ in the literature

N. Marginean *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 80, 021301 (R) (2009)

- Energy of the 2_1^+ excited state measured at 241 keV:
  - ⇒ Sudden drop of the E(2_1^+) from ^{94}Kr to ^{96}Kr
  - ⇒ Possible rapid change in the ground state deformation as for Mo, Zr and Sr isotopic chains



S. Naimi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L 105, 032502 (2010)

- Mass measurement of ^{96,97}Kr:
  - ⇒ Contrary to the heavier isotopic chains, S_{2n} still decrease after N=58
  - ⇒ Result in contradiction with Marginean *et al.*



S. Albers *et al.*, Phys. Rev. L 108, 062701 (2012)

- Energy of the 2_1^+ excited state measured at 554.1 keV (no γ at 241 keV):
  - ⇒ This γ spectroscopic result imply a smooth onset of deformation in neutron-rich Kr isotopes around N=60
  - ⇒ Result in contradiction with Marginean *et al.* but validating Naimi *et al.* results



$B(E2), R_{4/2}$: main indicators of collectivity



→ $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$: reduced electric quadrupole transition probability

$R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$

Systematic in the region

Standard increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2}$ $\nearrow$, $B(E2)$ $\nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr does not follows a standard smooth increase of collectivity



Systematic in the region

Standard increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr does not follows a standa[illegible]ase of collectivity

Quid of ^{96}Kr

- How can we resolve this contradiction on the 2_1^+ state energy ?
  $\Rightarrow$ New high resolution γ-ray spectroscopy with isotopic identification
- Does this unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ persists at N=60 ?
- What are the consequences on the nuclear ^{96}Kr structure?
  $\Rightarrow$ Need spectroscopic measurements beyond the 2_1^+ state



Experimental setup

AGATA@GANIL: E680 experiment (May 2015)

- Spokesperson: Gilbert Duchêne (IPHC Strasbourg)
- Reaction : Transfer and fusion induced fission:
  $\hookrightarrow$ ^{238}U@6.2 MeV/u + ^{9}Be (1.85 mg/cm^2), I$\sim 6 \times 10^9$ pps
- Setup : VAMOS++ and AGATA spectrometers



VAMOS++ isotopic identification

Mass identification : Q vs M/Q



Z identification : ΔE vs E



Mass distribution



Z distribution



VAMOS++: Conclusions on VAMOS analysis

Results

⇒ Very precise Doppler correction → Agata FWHM = 5.0 keV@1.2 MeV (^{98}Zr, $\beta \sim 0.1$)

⇒ A set of 205 "well identified" nuclei has been obtained



VAMOS++: Conclusions on VAMOS analysis

Results

⇒ Very precise Doppler correction → Agata FWHM = 5.0 keV@1.2 MeV (^{98}Zr, $\beta \sim 0.1$)

⇒ A set of 205 "well identified" nuclei has been obtained



Spectroscopic results for Kr isotopes: $^{96}Kr_{60}$

^{96}Kr spectrum



^{96}Kr spectrum gated on the $2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ transition (554 keV)



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **118**, 162501 (2017)

Level scheme of the N=60 GS band





The ^{96}Kr case : low Z boundary of the A$\sim$ 100 island of deformation

Informations from the $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ ratio

- $\Rightarrow$ Sharp transition at N=60 when moving from Sr to Kr
- $\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2}$ value confirms previous observations: ^{96}Kr seems not highly deformed



Horizontal lines : schematic view of the nuclear structure evolution (from R. F. Casten, 2001)

J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **118**, 162501 (2017)

The ^{96}Kr case : low Z boundary of the A$\sim$ 100 island of deformation

Informations from the $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ ratio

- $\Rightarrow$ Sharp transition at N=60 when moving from Sr to Kr
- $\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2}$ value confirms previous observations: ^{96}Kr seems not highly deformed



Horizontal lines : schematic view of the nuclear structure evolution (from R. F. Casten, 2001)

J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **118**, 162501 (2017)

The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 58$



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

New measurements on very neutron rich $^{98-100}$Kr

⇒ Recent results on $^{98-100}$Kr suggest a delayed onset of deformation at N=62

↪ $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ not measured



F. Flavigny *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 242501 (2017)

Comparisons to theoretical calculations

- To understand these phenomena, theoretical calculations needs to reproduce:
  - ⇒ The sharp transition at N=60 for Z>36,
  - ⇒ the absence of transition at Z=36,
  - ⇒ the decreasing trend of the $R_{4/2}$ ratio.



- - - - - - - - Horizontal lines : schematic view of the nuclear structure evolution (from R. F. Casten, 2001)

Comparisons to theoretical calculations

- To understand these phenomena, theoretical calculations needs to reproduce:
  - ⇒ The sharp transition at N=60 for Z>36,
  - ⇒ the absence of transition at Z=36,
  - ⇒ the decreasing trend of the $R_{4/2}$ ratio.



- - - - - - - - Horizontal lines : schematic view of the nuclear structure evolution (from R. F. Casten, 2001)

Comparisons to theoretical calculations

- Predictions from mean field calculations not able for the moment to reproduce this transition
- New Monte Carlo Shell-Model calculations performed along the Zr isotopic chain reproduce for the first time the N=60 transition along the Zr isotopic chain
- Could such a model help to understand this strange behavior in the Kr chain ?



Conclusions

Experimental results

- The powerful coupling between AGATA and VAMOS allowed to add new spectroscopic information to the Kr isotopic chain.
- 4_1^+ level established for the first time in ^{96}Kr.
  - $\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2}$ value confirms the non observation of sharp transition at N=60 in Kr
  - $\Rightarrow$ contradicting trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2; 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ evidenced

Interpretation

- Mean-field approaches fail to reproduce the observed phenomena.
  - $\Rightarrow$ Opposite $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2; 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ evolution still puzzling. Could be related to a shape coexistence phenomenon affecting the $R_{4/2}$ ratio.
- MCSM calculations give the first microscopical reproduction of the N=60 transition in Zr nuclei.
  - $\Rightarrow$ Z>36: transitions generated by a strong $\pi g_{9/2}$-$\nu g_{7/2}$ coupling.

Thank you for your attention!

And thank you to all the person involved in this experiment:

A. Lemasson, G. Maquart, G. Duchêne, M. Rejmund, E. Clément, F. Didierjean, C. Lizarazo, C. Michelagnoli, F. Nowacki, R. Perez, K. Sieja, O. Stezowski, C. Andreoiu, G. de Angelis, A. Astier, C. Delafosse, I. Deloncle, Z. Dombradi, G. de France, A. Gadea, A. Gottardo, B. Jacquot, P. Jones, T. Konstantinopoulos, A. Korichi, I. Kuti, F. Le Blanc, S.M. Lenzi, G. Li, R. Lozeva, B. Million, D.R. Napoli, A. Navin, C.M. Petrache, N. Pietralla, D. Ralet, M. Ramdhane, C. Schmitt, D. Sohler, D. Verney.

Annexe : Spectroscopic analysis

Possible attributions: systematic on Kr isotopes

- 3_1^- : Energy ? – Intensity ($\sim$ 0 $\rightarrow$ 30%) ?
- 2_2^+ : Energy ? – Intensity ($\sim$ 0 $\rightarrow$ 20%) ?
- 4_1^+ : Energy ? – Intensity ($\sim$ 50 $\rightarrow$ 100%) ?

I(621)/I(554) = 90(25)%



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

Annexe : Spectroscopic analysis

Possible attributions: systematic on Kr isotopes

- 3_1^- : Energy ✗ – Intensity ($\sim$ 0 $\rightarrow$ 30%) ✗
- 2_2^+ : Energy ✓ – Intensity ($\sim$ 0 $\rightarrow$ 20%) ✗
- 4_1^+ : Energy ✓ – Intensity ($\sim$ 50 $\rightarrow$ 100%) ✓

I(621)/I(554) = 90(25)%

621 keV : 4_1^+(1175 keV)$\rightarrow$ 2_1^+(554 keV)



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



R. F. Casten and N. V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 402 (1993)

Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2}$ ↗, $B(E2)$ ↗

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

Usual increasing of collectivity

$\Rightarrow$ $R_{4/2} = E(4^+)/E(2^+)$ vs $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$: $R_{4/2} \nearrow$, $B(E2) \nearrow$

$\hookrightarrow$ Kr follows an unexpected trend between $R_{4/2}$ and $B(E2)$ up to $N = 60$



J. Dudouet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 162501 (2017)

Annexe: The strange behaviour of Kr nuclei

New measurements on very neutron rich $^{98-100}$Kr

⇒ Recent results on $^{98-100}$Kr suggest a delayed onset of deformation at N=62

↪ $B(E2 : 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$ not measured



F. Flavigny et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 242501 (2017)